

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

The FAIR SHARE PLAN keeps us low-volume users who use cell for safety and security paying our fair share. The Flat Rate is wrong to expect us to shoulder the fixed costs of those who have a phone at their jaw all day and the huge companies who resent us use-it-only-as-needed folks. Stick with FAIR SHARE PLAN!

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

William Looney  
P.O. Box 3845  
Silverdale, Washington 98383